2007 Regular Legislative Session FISCAL ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION

Prepared by the Oregon Legislative Fiscal Office

MEASURE NUMBER: HB 3328 STATUS: A-Engrossed

SUBJECT: Child abuse investigation medical assessments and photographic documentation

GOVERNMENT UNIT AFFECTED: Department of Human Services and the Department of Justice

PREPARED BY: John F. Borden

REVIEWED BY: Sheila Baker, John Britton, and Theresa McHugh

DATE: April 18, 2007

<u>2007-2009</u> <u>2009-2011</u>

EXPENDITURES: See Comments

EFFECTIVE DATE: On passage

GOVERNOR'S BUDGET: This bill is not anticipated by the Governor's recommended budget.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT MANDATE: This bill does not affect local governments' service levels or shared revenues sufficient to trigger Section 15, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.

COMMENTS: This measure requires that when a child abuse investigator observes that a child has suffered a suspicious physical injury(ies) and suspects that such injuries arose from child abuse, that photographic evidence be taken of the physical injury(ies) and a designated medical professional conduct a medical assessment within 24 hours. Suspicious physical injury is defined in this measure as, but is not limited to: burns or scalds, extensive bruising, swelling or abrasions, bone fractures, dislocations, unconsciousness, malnutrition or failure to thrive, or any other injury that threatens the well-being of the child. The Department of Human Services (DHS) must assign a Critical Incident Response Team within 24-hours after a child fatality that was likely the result of child abuse. Additionally, the measure directs certain reporting by the Department of Justice, including a report to an interim legislative committee.

DHS estimates that 2,264 or 90% of confirmed cases of physical child abuse or threat of physical child abuse in Oregon (2005) are inflicted on children who are enrollees of the Oregon Health Plan (OHP) or State Children's Health Insurance Plan clients (SCHIP). Of this amount, 1,359 or 60% are estimated to receive a medical assessment under current law and practice.

The cost of a medical assessment varies from \$25 to \$120. Assessments for OHP/SCHIP clients are paid for through either managed care (80%) or fee-for-services (20%). The cost is funded with a combination of General Fund and Federal Funds. The Federal match is approximately 61% for OHP clients and 73% for SCHIP clients.

DHS estimates it will have two general impacts associated with additional medical assessments (treatment costs are assumed by DHS to be covered under current law):

1) The first impact DHS estimates is that now all confirmed cases of physical child abuse or threat of physical child abuse would result in a medical assessment. This is a 40% increase in the number of medical assessments currently undertaken and translates into 905 additional assessments (i.e., the difference between the 2,264 cases and the 1,359 medical assessments occurring under current law). Of this amount, 724 would be paid for as part of managed care contract and therefore

would not have an *immediate* fiscal impact as managed care contract priced is adjusted in aggregate, and on a biennial basis. The remaining 181 medical assessments (15 cases per month) would be on a fee-for-service basis and would have a minimal fiscal impact. The impact would be only for fee-for-service clients of \$14,402 for 18-months of the 2007-09 biennium and \$19,080 for 24-months of the 2009-11 biennium. These figures were based on an exam fee of \$53.

2) The second impact is that DHS believes that there will also be an increase in the number of medical assessments because this measure would now require a medical assessment, where under current law a caseworker had latitude to exercise individual judgment on a case of alleged physical abuse. DHS estimates a 30% increase or 679 cases above the current 2,264 cases. This translates into 272 more medical assessments given DHS assumes 60% of the 679 cases would already be receiving a medical assessment. Of the 272 cases, 217 would be paid for as part of managed care contract and therefore would not have an immediate fiscal impact. The remaining 55 medical assessments (4.5 cases per month) would be on a fee-for-service basis and would have a minimal fiscal impact. The impact would be only to fee-for-service clients of \$4,320 for 18-months of the 2007-09 biennium and \$6,360 for 24-months of the 2009-11 biennium. These figures were based on an exam fee of \$53.

Thus, DHS would have 235 additional medical assessments on a fee-for-service basis at a cost of \$18,722 for 18-months of the 2007-09 biennium and \$25,440 for 24-months of the 2009-11 biennium.

In addition, DHS states that it would need to purchase 84 digital cameras at a cost of \$135 each (\$11,340) and 42 printers (one for each field office) at a cost of \$190 each (\$7,980). These would be one-time costs and funded with a combination of General and Federal Funds.

According to DHS, cumulative fiscal impact of this measure is \$38,042 for the 2007-09 biennium and \$25,440 for the 2009-11 biennium. DHS categorizes this measure as having a minimal fiscal impact and stated that it could absorb the cost within its 2007-09 Legislatively approved budget.

The Department of Justice (DOJ) reports that this measure could have an indeterminate fiscal impact depending upon the number of additional medical assessments for those victims eligible to receive support from the Department's Crime Victim's Assistance Section. DOJ stated that the reporting requirements of this measure would not have a fiscal impact.

The Legislative Fiscal Office (LFO) believes that the DHS decision to absorb the cost of this measure is noteworthy. Although the amount the Department has stated it can absorb is categorized as "minimal," LFO does have several concerns that underscore the uncertainty of the DHS minimal fiscal impact. They are:

- DHS assumes that the number of medical assessments will increase. As such DHS must view this measure as requiring a higher standard of diligence than its caseworkers (and law enforcement officials) must adhere to under current law. Additionally, the number of such medical assessments is based exclusively on DHS caseworkers requiring an assessment and does not include an estimate of those assessments directed by law enforcement officials, which could be significant;
- The DHS assumption that 60% of abuse cases currently receive medical assessments, which is a key assumption, is unsubstantiated by the Department;
- The DHS assumption that 60% of new cases of alleged abuse (i.e., the 2nd DHS fiscal impact related to medical assessments) would already have received a medical examination is of question and is contrary to the Department's logic that these would be entirely new cases and medical assessments;

- The fee-for-service rate of \$53 could be underestimated and trend more toward the upper figure of \$120 per assessment given that a medical professional may conduct a more thorough, and therefore more costly medical assessment than otherwise would occur in the absence of an allegation of serious physical abuse; and
- Lastly, if DHS can absorb the cost of this measure, then LFO would expect that the Department not return to a future legislature or Emergency Board with a supplemental budget request or a rebalance request to address any shortfalls which could occur as a result of this measure.

This measure does not require a subsequent referral to the Joint Committee on Ways and Means given the indeterminate nature of medical assessments cases, cost per case, and payer (OHP, SCHIP, or DOJ-Victims' Assistance).