74th OREGON LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY - 2007 Regular Session **STAFF MEASURE SUMMARY** House Committee on Elections, Ethics and Rules

MEASURE: CARRIER:

REVENUE: No revenue impact	
FISCAL: No fiscal impact	
Action:	Do Pass
Vote:	5 - 2 - 0
Yeas:	Berger, Buckley, Hunt, Roblan, Rosenbaum
Nays:	Esquivel, Thatcher
Exc.:	0
Prepared By:	Matt Kalmanson, Counsel
Meeting Dates:	6/19

DEVENIUE, N

WHAT THE MEASURE DOES: Establishes that employment arbitration and noncompetition agreements are voidable unless: (1) the employer informs the employee of the agreement's requirements in a written employment offer received by the employee at least two weeks before the first day of employment, or (2) the agreement is entered into upon a bona fide advancement of the employee. Establishes additional requirements for enforcement of noncompetition agreements, including that the employee: (1) earn a salary that exceeds the median family income for a family of four; (2) is an administrative, professional or executive employee who is exempt from the minimum wage and overtime laws; and (3) has access to trade secrets or other competitively sensitive confidential information. Establishes that noncompetition agreements may not exceed two years. Permits employers to enforce an otherwise voidable noncompetition agreement, in certain instances, if the employer compensates the employee. Clarifies that other agreements, such as nonsolicitation agreements, are not subject to the bill's requirements.

ISSUES DISCUSSED:

- The provisions of the bill
- Use and overuse of noncompetition agreements
- Application to agreements not to solicit customers

EFFECT OF COMMITTEE AMENDMENT: No amendment.

BACKGROUND: As a general matter, contracts in restraint of trade are unenforceable. However, agreements that are in partial restraint of trade may be enforceable, if they are limited in their application to a reasonable period of time and territory, and "afford only a fair protection to the interests of the party in whose favor it is made and must not be so large in its operation as to interfere with the interests of the public." The Oregon Supreme Court has ruled that to be entitled to the protection of a noncompetition covenant, the employer must show that it has a "legitimate interest" entitled to protection. The interest need not be a trade secret, but could consist of customer or strategic information. SB 248 B would describe the permissible scope of such agreements. The bill limits noncompetition agreements to certain types of employees who make a certain amount of money, and who have access to specialized information. It expressly limits them to two years.