## MEASURE NUMBER: HB 2252 STATUS: Original

**SUBJECT:** Authorizes specified agencies to require fingerprinting of certain person for purposes of criminal records checks and declares an emergency.

**GOVERNMENT UNIT AFFECTED:** Oregon State Police, Department of Consumer and Business Services, Office of Private Health Partnerships, Real Estate Agency, Commission for the Blind **PREPARED BY:** Tim Walker

**REVIEWED BY:** Doug Wilson, John Britton, Robin LaMonte, Deborah Manthe, and Michelle Deister **DATE:** January 26, 2007

		2007-2009		<u>2009-2011</u>
EXPENDITURES:	¢		¢	
Oregon State Police	\$		\$	
Personal Services (Other Funds)	\$	84,011	\$	84,011
Services and Supplies	\$	1,944	\$	1,624
Total	\$	85,955	\$	85,635
		<u>2007-2009</u>		<u>2009-2011</u>
REVENUES:				
Oregon State Police				
Fingerprinting Fee (Other Funds)	\$	230,608	\$	230,608

## **EFFECTIVE DATE:** On passage.

GOVERNOR'S BUDGET: This bill is not anticipated by the Governor's recommended budget.

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT MANDATE:** This bill does not affect local governments' service levels or shared revenues sufficient to trigger Section 15, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.

**COMMENTS:** The measure grants authority to Office of Private Health Partnerships, Commission for the Blind, Real Estate Agency, and Department of Consumer and Business Services (DCBS) (Listed Agencies), to request nationwide criminal background checks from Oregon State Police. DCBS currently has authority to request Oregon-only background checks on employees whose positions have fiscal authority. This measure would broaden that authority to employees, contractors, and volunteers who have access to sensitive information, and persons applying or renewing various insurance licenses subject to DCBS rule. OSP estimates an additional 7,500 fingerprint cards per biennium which would require an additional .95 FTE to handle the additional workload. The Listed Agencies indicate that any additional expenditures incurred would be absorbed under current operating budgets. The current fee assumes indirect costs and over-head costs. The addition of one position does not significantly change the overall indirect and over-head costs.

Because the measure is effective upon passage, if it were enacted before July 1, 2007, there could be a fiscal impact in the current biennium.